

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,074	TAKAYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brook Kebede	2823	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Brook Kebede.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Eric J. Robinson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2005

Time: 11:35 A.M.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brook Kebede

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is agreed that the election filed on April 18, 2005 in response to the restriction requirement of March 15, 2005 should read as follows:

Applicants elect Species I without traverse, and claims read on the elected species are 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22, 24, 25 and 27. The non-elected species withdrawn without prejudice.